

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

INSTRUCTIONS: Officer designations should be used in the "TO" column. Under each comment a line should be drawn across sheet and each comment numbered to correspond with the number in the "TO" column. Each officer should initial (check mark insufficient) before further routing. This Routing and Record Sheet should be returned to Registry.

A/B, 4, 23/42

FROM:

NO.

DATE

17 June 1952

TO	NO	REC'D	FWD'D	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS
1. [REDACTED]				A	<p>[REDACTED] saw [REDACTED] on Tuesday evening after the [REDACTED] meeting and [REDACTED] generally reported that the meeting had been considered immature since [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] had not officially discussed the matter between themselves. [REDACTED] asked [REDACTED] to do this and then they would get together [REDACTED]</p>
2. [REDACTED]				A	
3. [REDACTED]					
4. [REDACTED]					
5. [REDACTED]				G	
6. [REDACTED]				A	
7. [REDACTED]					
8. [REDACTED]					
9. [REDACTED]					
10. [REDACTED]					
11. [REDACTED]					
12. [REDACTED]					
13. [REDACTED]					
14. [REDACTED]					
15. [REDACTED]					

A/B, 4, 23/43
17 June 1952

MEMORANDUM FOR [REDACTED] A

SUBJECT: Briefing [REDACTED] on Project ARTICHOKE on 17 June 1952

A
G
1. [REDACTED] called asking for you in connection with a meeting to be held in [REDACTED]'s office concerning the future of Project ARTICHOKE. He particularly wished to know your attitude concerning the role of [REDACTED] in this matter.

2. I told [REDACTED] that I believed your position with regard to ARTICHOKE was as follows:

a. Under the leadership of [REDACTED] very little progress had been made toward developing any useful techniques for "Operations."

b. There was a need for further research and further definition of the objectives of such research in the area of Project ARTICHOKE.

G
c. Since techniques of special interrogations were of prime use to [REDACTED] and since [REDACTED] had established [REDACTED] to conduct research and development for [REDACTED], it was appropriate that [REDACTED] have a large part in any research program for Project ARTICHOKE.

A
d. It was not clear whether operational use or operational testing of these techniques should be under the ultimate control of [REDACTED] or I & SS. Since [REDACTED] was deeply interested in the project, this interest should be borne in mind in allocating these responsibilities.

G
3. [REDACTED] had the OSI staff study together with the comments of the various Assistant Directors to whom that staff study was addressed. Apparently, it was only [REDACTED] who suggested that [REDACTED] be brought in. Apparently, also, [REDACTED] has made some kind of a proposal to [REDACTED] for the future of Project ARTICHOKE. Presumably this proposal which I did not see involves placing the responsibility either for research only or for the entire program in [REDACTED]

A
4. [REDACTED] was arranging for a meeting at 1130 on 17 June 1952 for briefing [REDACTED] on Project ARTICHOKE so that he could make a decision with regard to the future of this project. This meeting was apparently to be attended by [REDACTED] and possibly [REDACTED]

5. I told [REDACTED]

A 5. I told [REDACTED] that I would brief [REDACTED] about my
conversations with [REDACTED] but he said that he would brief [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

A [REDACTED]